

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/595,009	OYAMA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN	2439

## All Participants:

**Status of Application:** *Pending Examination*

(1) *BBENJAMIN A. KAPLAN* *PTO personnel.*

(3) John C. Han

*Applicant's Representative.*

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 February 2009

**Time:** 1:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

5

#### Claims discussed:

1-83

#### Prior art documents discussed:

NA

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

**See Continuation Sheet**

### Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kambiz Zand/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434  
/Benjamin A Kaplan/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2439

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative to verify what the current set of claims was considered to be. Confirmation was given by Applicant's Representative that claims 59-83 filed on 12/14/2005 was the standing set of claims as apposed to the second copy of the original claims 1-58 that the office received on 2/14/2006. .